Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/597,238	KOCH ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	/Peter A. Hruskoci/	1797
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) /Peter A. Hruskoci/.	(3)	
(2) <u>Gavin N Manning</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 13 July 2009	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: PriorArt Rejections as stated in the prior Office action dated 2/9/09		
Claims discussed: All		
Prior art documents discussed: Prior Art of Record		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: It was agreed to amend the claims as shown in the Examiner's Amendment to patentably distinguish over the prior art of record in view of paragraphs [0032-0039] of the instant specification, and for the sake of clarity and accuracy.		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
/Peter A. Hruskoci/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)